

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/828,005	03/27/1997	GARY DEAN LAVON	6563	4421
27752	7590 01/21/2004	EXAMINER		
	ΓER & GAMBLE CO	REICHLE, I	REICHLE, KARIN M	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	1.5
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	\mathcal{G}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/828,005	LAVON ET AL.				
Advisory Addis.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karin M. Reichle	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 11,12,17,20,32,33,37-39,43,44 and 82-89.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $oxed{\boxtimes}$ The drawing correction filed on <u>08 January 2004</u> is a) $oxed{\square}$ approved or b) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		K.M. Reichle Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 08/828,005

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 11, and thereby the claims dependent therefrom, changes the scope of the claims, i.e. no longer requires the backsheet be substantially liquid impermeable, only include a material which is so. See paragraph 10 of the FINAL. Also note MPEP 2163.06(I), first full paragraph thereof, last sentence thereof. It is also noted that only annotated sheets of proposed drawing changes were received in the file, i.e. no replacement sheets of Figures as required by Revised 37 CFR 1.121 were received. It is also noted that section 7 of the FINAL referred to lines 4 et seq of the amendments to page 12, line 24, see paragraph bridging pages 34-35 of the 1-8-04 response. Applicants remarks, e.g. at page 36, lines 9-12, in the 1-8-04 response have been considered but are deemed nonpersuasive, e.g. in light of the original specification at page 3, line 22-26, page 5, lines 33-34, page 7, lines 33-36, page 16, lines 20-24, page 17, lines 11-14.